arXiv:1605.05181v1 [math.CA] 17 May 2016

A new characterization of ultraspherical, Hermite, and Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind

Mohammed Mesk [a,1] **and Mohammed Brahim Zahaf** [b,c,2]

[a] *Laboratoire d'Analyse Non Linéaire et Mathématiques Appliquées, Université de Tlemcen, BP 119, 13000-Tlemcen, Algérie.*

[b] *Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des sciences, Université de Tlemcen, BP 119, 13000-Tlemcen, Algérie.*

[c] *Laboratoire de Physique Quantique de la Matière et Modélisations Mathématiques (LPQ3M) Université de Mascara, 29000-Mascara, Algérie.*

Abstract

We show that the only polynomial sets with a generating function of the form $F(xt - R(t))$ and satisfying a three-term recursion relation are the monomial set and the rescaled ultraspherical, Hermite, and Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials; generating functions; recurrence relations; ultraspherical polynomials; Chebyshev polynomials; Hermite polynomials.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 33C45; Secondary 42C05

1 Introduction and main result

The problem of describing all or just orthogonal polynomials generated by a specific generating function has been investigated by many authors (see for example [1–5, 7–9, 12]). For the special case, where the generating function has the form $F(xt-\alpha t^2)$, the authors in [2], [5] and [6] used different methods to show that the orthogonal polynomials are Hermite and ultraspherical polynomials. Recently in [4], the author gave a motivation of this question and found, even if F is a formal power series, that the orthogonal polynomials are the ultraspherical, Hermite and Chebychev polynomials of the first kind. Moreover, for F corresponding to Chebychev polynomials of the first kind, he showed that these polynomials remain the only orthogonal polynomials with generating function of the form $F(xU(t) - R(t))$, where $U(t)$ and $R(t)$ are formal power series. A natural question, as mentioned in [4], is to describe (all or just orthogonal) polynomials with generating functions

$$F(xU(t) - R(t)).$$

In this paper, we consider the subclass case $F(xt-R(t)) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n P_n(x)t^n$ where the polynomial set (not necessary orthogonal) $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies a three-term recursion relation. The main result obtained here is the following:

[1] Email: m_mesk@yahoo.fr
[2] Email: m_b_zahaf@yahoo.fr

Theorem 1 *Let $F(t)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n t^n$ and $R(t)=\sum_{n\geq 1}R_n t^n/n$ be formal power series where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$ are complex sequences with $\alpha_0=1$ and $R_1=0$. Define the polynomial set $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ by*

$$F(xt-R(t))=\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n P_n(x)t^n. \tag{1}$$

If this polynomial set (which is automatically monic) satisfies the three-term recursion relation

$$\begin{cases} xP_n(x)=P_{n+1}(x)+\beta_n P_n(x)+\omega_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n\geq 0,\\ P_{-1}(x)=0, \quad P_0(x)=1 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\omega_n\}$ are complex sequences, then we have:
a) If $R_2=0$ and $\alpha_n\neq 0$ for $n\geq 1$, then $R(t)=0$, $F(t)$ is arbitrary and $F(xt)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n x^n t^n$ generates the monomials $\{x^n\}_{n\geq 0}$.
b) If $\alpha_1 R_2\neq 0$, then $R(t)=R_2t^2/2$ and the polynomial sets $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are the rescaled ultraspherical, Hermite and Chebychev polynomials of the first kind.

In the above theorem, let remark that there is no loss of generality in assuming $\alpha_0=1$ and $R_1=0$. Indeed, we can choose the generating function $\gamma_1+\gamma_2F((x+R_1)t-R(t))=\gamma_1+\gamma_2\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n P_n(x+R_1)t^n$ for suitable constants γ_1 and γ_2.

The proof of theorem 1 will be given in section 3. For that purpose some preliminary results must be developed first in section 2. We end the paper by a brief concluding section.

2 Preliminary results

This section contains two propositions and some related corollaries which are the important ingredients used for the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a monic polynomial set generated by* (1)*. Then we have*

$$\alpha_n xP_n'(x)-\sum_{k=1}^{n}R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P_{n-k}'(x)=n\alpha_n P_n(x), \quad n\geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Proof: By combining the two derivatives $\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}$ of the generating function $W(x,t)=F(xt-R(t))$, we obtain

$$\left(x-R'(t)\right)\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}=t\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}. \tag{4}$$

The substitution of the right-hand side of (1) and $R'(t)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\ R_{n+1}t^n$ in (4) gives

$$\left(x-\sum_{n\geq 0}\ R_{n+1}t^n\right)\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n P_n'(x)t^n=\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n P_n(x)nt^n. \tag{5}$$

After a resummation procedure in left hand side, namely:

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\ R_{n+1}t^n\right)\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n P_n'(x)t^n\right)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P_{n-k}'(x)\right)t^n$$

and a t^n coefficients comparison in (5), the result (3) of proposition 1 follows.

■

Corollary 1 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a monic polynomial set generated by (1). If $\alpha_1 R_2 \neq 0$ then $\alpha_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof: *In fact suppose that $\alpha_{n_0} = 0$ for an $n_0 \geq 2$. Then (3) implies that $R_{k+1}\alpha_{n_0-k} = 0$ for $k = 1, ..., n_0 - 1$. In particular $R_2\alpha_{n_0-1} = 0$ for $k = 1$ gives $\alpha_{n_0-1} = 0$ since $R_2 \neq 0$. By induction we arrive at $\alpha_1 = 0$ which contradicts the premise $\alpha_1 \neq 0$.* ■

Corollary 2 *Let $\alpha_1 R_2 \neq 0$. If the polynomial set $\{P_n\}$ generated by (1) is symmetric, then*

$$R_{2l+1} = 0, \quad \text{for } l \geq 1.$$

Proof: The polynomial set $\{P_n\}$ is symmetric means that $P_n(-x) = (-1)^n P_n(x)$ for $n \geq 0$. The substitution $x \to -x$ in equation (3) minus equation (3) itself left us with

$$(1 - (-1)^{k+1})R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k} = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

So, by Corollary 1, we have $R_{2l+1} = 0$, for $l \geq 1$, and $R(t) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{R_{2k}}{2k} t^{2k}$. ■

Proposition 2 *Let $\alpha_1 R_2 \neq 0$ and define*

$$T_k = R_{2k},\ (k \geq 1),\ a_n = \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}},\ (n \geq 0) \text{ and } c_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\omega_n,\ \ (n \geq 1). \tag{6}$$

For the monic polynomial set generated by (1) and satisfying (2) we have:
a)

$$\beta_n = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{7}$$

b)

$$\omega_n = na_n - (n-1)a_{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{8}$$

c)

$$\frac{4T_2}{T_1^3}\left(1 - \frac{n-3}{n-2}\frac{a_{n-3}}{a_n}\right) = \frac{n+1}{a_n} - \frac{2n}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{n-1}{a_{n-2}}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 3. \tag{9}$$

d)

$$\frac{2}{T_1}\left(a_n - \frac{n-2k-1}{n-2k}a_{n-2k-1}\right)T_{k+1} + \left(\frac{n+2}{n}c_n - \frac{n-2k+1}{n-2k+2}c_{n-2k+1}\right)T_k =$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{T_l T_{k-l+1}}{n-2k+2l}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 2 \text{ and } n \geq 2k+1. \tag{10}$$

Proof: By differentiating (2) we get

$$xP'_n(x) + P_n(x) = P'_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P'_n(x) + \omega_n P'_{n-1}. \tag{11}$$

Then by making the combinations $n\alpha_n Eq(11) + Eq(3)$ and $Eq(3) - \alpha_n Eq(11)$ we obtain, respectively,

$$(n+1)\alpha_n x P'_n(x) = n\alpha_n \left(P'_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P'_n(x) + \omega_n P'_{n-1}(x)\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x) \tag{12}$$

and

$$(n+1)\alpha_n P_n(x) = \alpha_n \left(P'_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P'_n(x) + \omega_n P'_{n-1}(x)\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x). \tag{13}$$

Multiplying (13) by x and using (2) in the left-hand side gives

$$(n+1)\alpha_n \left(P_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P_n(x) + \omega_n P_{n-1}(x)\right) = \alpha_n \left(xP'_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n xP'_n(x) + \omega_n xP'_{n-1}(x)\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}xP'_{n-k}(x). \tag{14}$$

For the left-hand side (resp. the right-hand side) of (14) we use (13) (resp. (12)) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n+1}{n+2}\alpha_n \left(P'_{n+2}(x) + \beta_{n+1}P'_{n+1}(x) + \omega_{n+1}P'_n(x)\right) - \frac{n+1}{n+2}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k+1}P'_{n-k+1}(x) \\
&+\alpha_n\beta_n \left(P'_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P'_n(x) + \omega_n P'_{n-1}(x)\right) - \beta_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x) \\
&+\frac{n+1}{n}\alpha_n\omega_n \left(P'_n(x) + \beta_{n-1}P'_{n-1}(x) + \omega_{n-1}P'_{n-2}(x)\right) - \frac{n+1}{n}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\omega_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k-1}P'_{n-k-1}(x) = \\
&= \frac{n+1}{n+2}\alpha_n \left(P'_{n+2}(x) + \beta_{n+1}P'_{n+1}(x) + \omega_{n+1}P'_n(x)\right) + \frac{1}{n+2}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k+1}P'_{n-k+1}(x) \\
&+\frac{n}{n+1}\alpha_n\beta_n \left(P'_{n+1} + \beta_n P'_n + \omega_n P'_{n-1}\right) + \frac{1}{n+1}\beta_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x) \\
&+\frac{n-1}{n}\alpha_n\omega_n \left(P'_n(x) + \beta_{n-1}P'_{n-1}(x) + \omega_{n-1}P'_{n-2}(x)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\omega_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k-1}P'_{n-k-1}(x) \\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\frac{n-k}{n-k+1}\alpha_{n-k}\left(P'_{n-k+1}(x) + \beta_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x) + \omega_{n-k}P'_{n-k-1}(x)\right) \\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{R_{k+1}}{n-k+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-k-1} R_{l+1}\alpha_{n-k-l}P'_{n-k-l}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which can be simplified to

$$-\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k+1}P'_{n-k+1}(x)+\frac{1}{n+1}\alpha_n\beta_n\left(P'_{n+1}(x)+\beta_nP'_n(x)+\omega_nP'_{n-1}(x)\right)$$
$$-\frac{n+2}{n+1}\beta_n\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x)+\frac{2}{n}\alpha_n\omega_n\left(P'_n(x)+\beta_{n-1}P'_{n-1}(x)+\omega_{n-1}P'_{n-2}(x)\right)$$
$$-\frac{n+2}{n}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\omega_n\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} R_{k+1}\alpha_{n-k-1}P'_{n-k-1}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1}\frac{n-k}{n-k+1}\alpha_{n-k}(P'_{n-k+1}(x)+\beta_{n-k}P'_{n-k}(x)$$
$$+\omega_{n-k}P'_{n-k-1}(x))+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{R_{k+1}}{n-k+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-k-1} R_{l+1}\alpha_{n-k-l}P'_{n-k-l}(x)=0. \tag{16}$$

From (16), the coefficient of $P'_{n+1}(x)$ is null, so we get (7) which means that the polynomial set $\{P_n\}$ is symmetric, see [10, Theorem 4.3]. Therefore, by Corollary 2, the odd part of the R-sequence is null and a computation of the coefficients of $P'_n(x)$, $P'_{n-2}(x)$ and $\{P'_{n+1-k}(x)\}_{n\geq k\geq 4}$ in (16) yields

$$\frac{2}{n}\alpha_n\omega_n=R_2\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\alpha_n-R_2\frac{n-1}{n}\alpha_{n-1},\quad \text{for } n\geq 1, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{2}{n}\alpha_n\omega_n\omega_{n-1}=R_4\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\alpha_{n-2}+R_2\frac{n+2}{n}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\alpha_{n-2}\omega_n-R_4\frac{n-3}{n-2}\alpha_{n-3}-R_2\frac{n-1}{n}\alpha_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}-\frac{R_2^2}{n}\alpha_{n-2},\quad \text{for } n\geq 3, \tag{18}$$

and

$$R_{k+1}\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}-\frac{n-k}{n-k+1}\frac{\alpha_{n-k}}{\alpha_{n-k+1}}\right)+R_{k-1}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}}\omega_n-\frac{n-k+2}{n-k+3}\frac{\alpha_{n-k+2}}{\alpha_{n-k+1}}\omega_{n-k+2}\right)$$
$$=\sum_{l=1}^{k-2}\frac{R_{k-l}R_{l+1}}{n-k+l+2},\quad n\geq k\geq 5. \tag{19}$$

respectively.
Finally, by using the notations (6), substituting for ω_n from (17) into (18) and by shifting $(k,l)\rightarrow(2k+1,2l-1)$ in (19) we obtain (8), (9) and (10).

■

In the following corollaries we adopt the same conditions and notations of Proposition 2.

Corollary 3 *If $T_2=0$ then $R(t)=T_1t^2/2$. In this case, the polynomials generated by $F(xt-T_1t^2/2)$ and satisfying (2) reduce to the rescaled ultraspherical, Hermite and Chebychev polynomials of the first kind.*

Proof: We will use (10) and proceed by induction on k to show that $T_k=0$ for $k\geq 3$. Indeed $k=2$ and $n=5$ in (10) leads to $2a_5T_3/T_1=0$ and since $a_n\neq 0$ by Corollary 1 we get $T_3=0$. Suppose that $T_3=T_4=\ldots=T_k=0$. Then for $n=2k+1$ the equation (10) gives $2a_{2k+1}T_{k+1}/T_1=0$ and finally $T_{k+1}=0$. Accordingly, $R(t)=T_1t^2/2$ and the generating function (1) takes the form $F\left(xt-T_1t^2/2\right)$.

Actually to determine $F(t)$, we make use of (9) with $T_1 \neq 0$ and $T_2 = 0$:

$$\frac{n+1}{a_n} - \frac{2n}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{n-1}{a_{n-2}} = 0, \quad n \geq 3. \tag{20}$$

By summing twice in (20) we find

$$\frac{n+1}{a_n} = \left(\frac{3}{a_2} - \frac{2}{a_1}\right) n + \left(\frac{4}{a_1} - \frac{3}{a_2}\right), \quad n \geq 3, \tag{21}$$

which is valid for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$. As $a_n = \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}$ and using (21) we get, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\lambda_2(n-1) + \lambda_1}{n}\alpha_{n-1} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2)}{n!}\alpha_1. \tag{22}$$

And the generating function reads

$$F(t) = 1 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_1 \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2)}{n!} t^n,$$

where $\lambda_1 = 4\alpha_2/\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_3/\alpha_2$ and $\lambda_2 = 3\alpha_3/\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2/\alpha_1$.

Now, by the same ideas as in [4] we have:

i) If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, $\lambda_2 \neq 0$, then

$$F(t) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\lambda_2 t)^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}} - 1\right). \tag{23}$$

According to (22), the ratio $\lambda := \lambda_1/\lambda_2$ can not be a negative integer and consequently the monic polynomials P_n can be written as

$$P_n(x) = \left(\frac{2T_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{n/2} \mathbf{C}_n^{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{2T_1}}x\right), \tag{24}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_n^{\lambda}(x)$ are the monic ultraspherical polynomials defined by [11, Section 9.8.1]

$$\frac{1}{(1-2xt+t^2)^{\lambda}} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-2)^n(\lambda)_n}{n!}\mathbf{C}_n^{\lambda}(x)t^n.$$

ii) If $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 2\alpha_2/\alpha_1$, then

$$F(t) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda_2 t}\right). \tag{25}$$

This later function generates

$$P_n(x) = \left(\frac{2T_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{n/2} \mathbf{T}_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{2T_1}}x\right), \tag{26}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_n$ are the monic Chebyshev polynomials defined by [10, p. 155]

$$1+\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-2xt+t^2}\right)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{n-1}}{n}\mathbf{T}_n(x)t^n.$$

iii) If $\lambda_1=2\alpha_2/\alpha_1$, $\lambda_2=0$, the generating function reads

$$F(t)=1+\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1}\left(e^{\lambda_1 t}-1\right) \tag{27}$$

and the polynomials P_n take the form

$$P_n(x)=\left(\frac{T_1}{\lambda_1}\right)^{n/2}\mathbf{H}_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{T_1}}x\right), \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_n$ are the monic Hermite polynomials defined by [11, Section 9.15]

$$\exp(xt-t^2/2)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{H}_n(x)\frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

■

Remark 1 *Suppose λ_1 and λ_2 are real numbers then the orthogonality of these polynomials requires $w_n>0$ for all $n\geq 1$, where*

$$\omega_n=\frac{T_1}{2}\,\frac{n\,(\lambda_2\,(n-1)+2\,\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_2\,n+\lambda_1)\,(\lambda_2\,(n-1)+\lambda_1)}. \tag{29}$$

Then it is enough to assume $\lambda_2/T_1>0$ and $\lambda>-1/2$, $\lambda_2/T_1>0$ and $\lambda_1/T_1>0$ for the ultraspherical, Chebyshev and Hermite polynomials cases, respectively.

Corollary 4 *If $T_\kappa=T_{\kappa+1}=0$ for some $\kappa\geq 3$, then $T_2=0$.*

Proof:

Let $k=\kappa$ in (10). Then for $n\geq 2\kappa+1$ the fraction $\sum_{l=1}^{\kappa}\frac{T_l T_{\kappa-l+1}}{n-2\kappa+2l}$, as function of integer n, is null even for real n. Multiplying by $n-2\kappa+2l$ and tends n to $2\kappa-2l$ we find $T_l T_{\kappa-l+1}=0$ for $1\leq l\leq\kappa$ which is $T_2T_{\kappa-1}=0$ when $l=2$. Supposing $T_2\neq 0$ leads to $T_{\kappa-1}=0$. So $T_{\kappa-1}=T_\kappa=0$ and with the same procedure we find $T_{\kappa-2}=0$. Going so on till we arrive at $T_2=0$ which contradicts $T_2\neq 0$. ■

Corollary 5 *If $R(t)$ is a polynomial then $R(t)=T_1t^2/2$.*

Proof: If $R(t)$ is a polynomial then for some $\kappa\geq 2$, $T_k=0$ whenever $k\geq\kappa$. By Corollary 4, since $T_\kappa=T_{\kappa+1}=0$, we conclude that $T_2=0$ and by Corollary 3 that $T_k=0$ for $k\geq 3$. ■

Corollary 6 *If a_n is a rational fraction of n then $T_2=0$.*

Proof: Observe that $c_n = T_1\left(na_n/a_{n-1} - (n-1)\right)/2$ will also a rational fraction of n. Then it follows that, in (10), two fractions are equal for natural numbers $n \geq 2k+1$, $k \geq 2$ and extensively will be for real numbers n. If we denote by $N_s(F(x))$ the number of singularities of a rational fraction $F(x)$ then we can easily verify, for all rational fractions F and $\tilde{F}$ of x and a constant $a \neq 0$, that:

a) $N_s(F(x+a)) = N_s(F(x))$,

b) $N_s(aF(x)) = N_s(F(x))$,

c) $N_s(F(x) + \tilde{F}(x)) \leq N_s(F(x)) + N_s(\tilde{F}(x))$.

Using property a) of N_s we have

$$N_s\left(\frac{n-2k-1}{n-2k}a_{n-2k-1}\right) = N_s\left(\frac{n}{n+1}a_n\right) \text{ and } N_s\left(\frac{n-2k+1}{n-2k+2}c_{n-2k+1}\right) = N_s\left(\frac{n}{n+1}c_n\right).$$

According to properties b) and c) of N_s, the N_s of the left-hand side of (10) is finite and independent of k. Thus, the right-hand side of (10) has a finite number of singularities which is independent of k. As consequence there exists a k_0 for which $T_l T_{k-l+1} = 0$ for all $k \geq k_0$ and $k_0 \leq l \leq k$. Taking successively $k = k_0 = l$ and $k = k_0 + 1 = l$ we get $T_{k_0} = T_{k_0+1} = 0$. Then, by Corollary 4 we have $T_2 = 0$.

■

Remark 2 *The fact that a_n is a rational fraction of n means that $F(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n z^n$ is a series of hypergeometric type.*

Corollary 7 *If $T_\kappa = T_m = 0$ for some $\kappa \neq m \geq 3$, then $T_2 = 0$.*

Proof: If $T_{\kappa+1} = 0$ or $T_{m+1} = 0$ then by Corollary 4 we have $T_2 = 0$. Suppose that $T_{\kappa+1} \neq 0$ and $T_{m+1} \neq 0$. Take $k = \kappa$ and $k = m$ in (10) to get, respectively,

$$\frac{2}{T_1}\left(a_n - \frac{n-2\kappa-1}{n-2\kappa}a_{n-2\kappa-1}\right)T_{\kappa+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{\kappa}\frac{T_l T_{\kappa-l+1}}{n-2\kappa+2l}, \text{ for } n \geq 2\kappa+1, \tag{30}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{T_1}\left(a_n - \frac{n-2m-1}{n-2m}a_{n-2m-1}\right)T_{m+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{m}\frac{T_l T_{m-l+1}}{n-2m+2l}, \text{ for } n \geq 2m+1. \tag{31}$$

The operation $Eq(30)/T_{\kappa+1} - Eq(31)/T_m$ gives

$$\frac{n-2m-1}{n-2m}a_{n-2m-1} - \frac{n-2\kappa-1}{n-2\kappa}a_{n-2\kappa-1} = Q_1(n). \tag{32}$$

Assuming $m > \kappa$ and replacing n by $n+2m+1$ (resp. $n+2m-2\kappa$) in (32) (resp. (31)) leads to

$$\frac{n}{n+1}a_n - \frac{n+2m-2\kappa}{n+2m-2\kappa+1}a_{n+2m-2\kappa+1} = Q_1(n+2m+1) \tag{33}$$

and

$$a_{n+2m-2\kappa} - \frac{n-2\kappa-1}{n-2\kappa}a_{n-2\kappa-1} = Q_2(n+2m-2\kappa). \tag{34}$$

Now $Eq(30)/T_{\kappa+1} - Eq(34)$ is the equation

$$a_n - a_{n+2m-2\kappa} = Q_3(n). \tag{35}$$

Multiplying (35) by $\frac{n+2m-2\kappa}{n+2m-2\kappa+1}$ and using (33) we find

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}-\frac{n+2m-2\kappa}{n+2m-2\kappa+1}\right)a_n = Q_4(n). \tag{36}$$

Since the $Q_i(n)$ $(i = 1..4)$ functions, the right-hand sides of (32), (33), (34), (35) and (36), are partial fractions of n then a_n is also a partial fraction of n; and by Corollary 6 we deduce $T_2 = 0$. ■

Corollary 8 *The following equality is true for $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2k+3$.*

$$T_{k-1}D_{k+1}(a_n - \tilde{a}_{n-2k-3}) - T_{k+1}D_k(a_{n-2} - \tilde{a}_{n-2k-1}) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{V_{k,l}}{n-2k+2l}, \tag{37}$$

where

- $D_{k,l} = T_kT_{k-l+1} - T_{k+1}T_{k-l}$.
- $D_k = D_{k,1} = T_k^2 - T_{k+1}T_{k-1}$.
- $V_{k,l} = \frac{T_1}{2}\left(T_lT_{k+1}D_{k-1,l-1} - T_{l+1}T_{k-1}D_{k,l}\right)$.
- $\tilde{a}_n = \frac{n}{n+1}a_n$.

Proof: Denoting the equation (10) by $E(k,n)$ then (37) is the result of the operation

$$T_{k+1}\left(T_{k-1}E(k,n) - T_kE(k-1,n-2)\right) - T_{k-1}\left(T_kE(k+1,n) - T_{k+1}E(k,n-2)\right).$$

■

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of a)
As $R_1 = R_2 = 0$, it is enough to show by induction that $R_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. For $n = 1, 2, 3$, the equation (3) gives $P_1(x) = x$, $P_2(x) = x^2$ and $P_3(0) = -\frac{R_3\alpha_1}{3\alpha_3}$. But according to equation (2), for $n = 2$, $P_3(0) = 0$ and then $R_3 = 0$. Now assume that $R_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. According to (3) we have, for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, $P_k(0) = 0$ and $P_n(0) = -\frac{R_n\alpha_1}{n\alpha_n}$. On other hand, by the shift $n \to n-1$ in (2) we have $P_n(0) = 0$ and thus $R_n = 0$. As $R(t) = 0$, the generating function (1) reduces to $F(xt) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n x^n t^n$ which generates the monomials with $F(t)$ arbitrary.
The proof of b)
According to Corollary 3, it is sufficient to prove that $T_2 = 0$. In the sequel we will investigate three cases:

Case 1: There exists $k_0 \geq 3$ such that $D_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq k_0$.
Considering Corollary 7 we can choose $\tilde{k} \geq k_0$ such that $T_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq \tilde{k}-1$. Let, for $k \geq \tilde{k}$, $\bar{D}_k = \frac{D_k}{T_{k-1}T_k}$ and $\bar{E}(k,n)$ be the equation (37) divided by $T_{k-1}T_kT_{k+1}$.

By making the operations

$$\bar{D}_{k-1}\bar{E}(k,n+2)-\bar{D}_k\bar{E}(k-1,n)-\bar{D}_k\bar{E}(k,n)+\bar{D}_{k+1}\bar{E}(k-1,n-2),$$

we can eliminate $\tilde{a}_{n-2k-3}$ and $\tilde{a}_{n-2k-1}$ and keeping only, for $k \geq \tilde{k}+1$, the following equation

$$a_{n+2}-a_{n-4}-\tilde{D}_k(a_n-a_{n-2})=\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{W_{k,l}}{n-2k+2l}:=Q_k^{(1)}(n), \tag{38}$$

where $W_{k,l}$ is independent of n and

$$\tilde{D}_k=\frac{\bar{D}_k^2+\bar{D}_k\bar{D}_{k-1}+\bar{D}_k\bar{D}_{k+1}}{\bar{D}_{k-1}\bar{D}_{k+1}}.$$

Similarly, after eliminating a_n and a_{n-2} by the operations

$$\bar{D}_{k-1}\bar{E}(k,n+2)-\bar{D}_{k+1}\bar{E}(k-1,n+2)-\bar{D}_{k-1}\bar{E}(k,n)+\bar{D}_k\bar{E}(k-1,n) \tag{39}$$

and then shifting $n \to n+2k+1$ in (39) we obtain

$$\tilde{a}_{n+2}-\tilde{a}_{n-4}-\tilde{D}_k(\tilde{a}_n-\tilde{a}_{n-2})=\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{\widetilde{W}_{k,l}}{n+2l+1}:=\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n), \tag{40}$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}$ is independent of n.

Now, for $k \neq \kappa \geq \tilde{k}+1$, the equations (38) and (40) give, respectively,

$$(\tilde{D}_\kappa-\tilde{D}_k)(a_n-a_{n-2})=Q_k^{(1)}(n)-Q_\kappa^{(1)}(n) \tag{41}$$

and

$$(\tilde{D}_\kappa-\tilde{D}_k)\left(\frac{n}{n+1}a_n-\frac{n-2}{n-1}a_{n-2}\right)=\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n)-\widetilde{Q}_\kappa^{(1)}(n). \tag{42}$$

If $\tilde{D}_k \neq \tilde{D}_\kappa$ for some $k \neq \kappa \geq \tilde{k}+1$, then by (41) and (42) we can eliminate a_{n-2} to get that a_n is a rational fraction of n. So, by Corollary 6, we have $T_2=0$.

If $\tilde{D}_k=D$ for $k \geq \tilde{k}+1$, then (38) and (40) become, respectively,

$$a_{n+2}-a_{n-4}-D(a_n-a_{n-2})=Q_k^{(1)}(n) \tag{43}$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_{n+2}-\tilde{a}_{n-4}-D(\tilde{a}_n-\tilde{a}_{n-2})=\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n). \tag{44}$$

The subtraction $Eq(43)-Eq(44)$ leads to

$$\frac{a_{n+2}}{n+3}-\frac{a_{n-4}}{n-3}-D\left(\frac{a_n}{n+1}-\frac{a_{n-2}}{n-1}\right)=Q_k^{(2)}(n). \tag{45}$$

Then the combinations $(Eq(43)-(n+3)Eq(45))/2$ and $(Eq(43)-(n-3)Eq(45))/2$ give, respectively,

$$\frac{3a_{n-4}}{n-3}-D\left(-\frac{a_n}{n+1}+\frac{2a_{n-2}}{n-1}\right)=Q_k^{(3)}(n) \tag{46}$$

and

$$\frac{3a_{n+2}}{n+3} - D\left(\frac{2a_n}{n+1} - \frac{a_{n-2}}{n-1}\right) = Q_k^{(4)}(n). \tag{47}$$

By shifting $n \to n+2$ in (46) we obtain

$$\frac{3a_{n-2}}{n-1} - D\left(-\frac{a_{n+2}}{n+3} + \frac{2a_n}{n+1}\right) = Q_k^{(3)}(n+2). \tag{48}$$

The elimination of a_{n+2} and a_{n-2} by the operations $DEq(47) - 3Eq(48)$ and $3Eq(47) - DEq(48)$, respectively, yields

$$\frac{6D-2D^2}{n+1}a_n + \frac{D^2-9}{n-1}a_{n-2} = Q_k^{(5)}(n) \tag{49}$$

and

$$\frac{9-D^2}{n+3}a_{n+2} - \frac{6D-2D^2}{n+1}a_n = Q_k^{(6)}(n). \tag{50}$$

Finally, the shifting $n \to n-2$ in (50) leads to

$$\frac{9-D^2}{n+1}a_n - \frac{6D-2D^2}{n-1}a_{n-2} = Q_k^{(6)}(n-2) \tag{51}$$

and the operation $(6D-2D^2)Eq(49) + (D^2-9)Eq(51)$ gives

$$[(6D-2D^2)^2 + (D^2-9)^2]a_n = Q_k^{(7)}(n). \tag{52}$$

According to manipulations made above, $Q_k^{(7)}(n)$ is a partial fraction of n. So, if $D \neq 3$, a_n is a partial fraction of n and then $T_2 = 0$.

Now, we explore the case $D = 3$. We have from (46) and (47):

$$Q_k^{(3)}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_k^{(1)}(n) - (n+3)\,Q_k^{(2)}(n)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left((n+3)\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n) - (n+2)Q_k^{(1)}(n)\right) \tag{53}$$

and

$$Q_k^{(4)}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_k^{(1)}(n) - (n-3)\,Q_k^{(2)}(n)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left((n-3)\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n) - (n-4)Q_k^{(1)}(n)\right). \tag{54}$$

Remark that $Q_k^{(j)}(n), 1 \leq j \leq 4$, and $\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n)$ are independent of k. Observe also that, according to the left-hand sides of (46) and (47) for $D = 3$, we have

$$Q_k^{(3)}(n+2) = Q_k^{(4)}(n).$$

From (53) and (54) we get

$$(n+4)Q_k^{(1)}(n+2) - (n-4)Q_k^{(1)}(n) = (n+5)\,\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n+2) - (n-3)\,\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n). \tag{55}$$

In (55) two partial fractions are equal for natural numbers and are so for real numbers. By using the expressions of $Q_k^{(1)}(n)$ and $\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n)$ (see (38) and (40)) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(n+4)Q_k^{(1)}(n+2)-(n-4)Q_k^{(1)}(n) &= \sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{(n+4)W_{k,l}}{n+2-2k+2l}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{(n-4)W_{k,l}}{n-2k+2l} \\ &= \frac{2W_{k,k}}{n+2}-\frac{(2k-6)W_{k,1}}{n-2k+2}+\sum_{l=2}^{k}\frac{(2k-2l+4)W_{k,l-1}-(2k-2l-4)W_{k,l}}{n-2k+2l}.\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(n+5)\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n+2)-(n-3)\widetilde{Q}_k^{(1)}(n) &= \sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{(n+5)\widetilde{W}_{k,l}}{n+2l+3}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{(n-3)\widetilde{W}_{k,l}}{n+2l+1} \\ &= \frac{6\widetilde{W}_{k,1}}{n+3}-\frac{(2k-2)\widetilde{W}_{k,k}}{n+2k+3}+\sum_{l=2}^{k}\frac{(-2l+4)\widetilde{W}_{k,l-1}+(2l+4)\widetilde{W}_{k,l}}{n+2l+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Observe that the singularities of (56) are even numbers, whereas the singularities of (57) are odd ones. So, we should have

$$W_{k,k}=W_{k,1}=\widetilde{W}_{k,1}=\widetilde{W}_{k,k}=0,$$
$$(2k-2l+4)W_{k,l-1}-(2k-2l-4)W_{k,l}=0$$

and

$$(-2l+4)\widetilde{W}_{k,l-1}+(2l+4)\widetilde{W}_{k,l}=0$$

for $2\leq l\leq k$. Since $k\geq\tilde{k}+1\geq 4$ and by induction on l all the $W_{k,l}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}$ are null. Thus, (43) reads

$$a_{n+2}-a_{n-4}-3\left(a_n-a_{n-2}\right)=0. \tag{58}$$

The solution of (58) has the form

$$a_n=\left(C_1+C_2n+C_3n^2\right)(-1)^n+C_4+C_5n+C_6n^2. \tag{59}$$

Using (59) for n even, the left-hand side of (10) is a partial fraction of n with finite number of singularities. So, by the same arguments as in Corollary 6 we obtain $T_2=0$.

Case 2: There exists $k_0\geq 3$ such that $D_k=0$ for $k\geq k_0$.

Suppose that $D_k=T_k^2-T_{k-1}T_{k+1}=0$ for all $k\geq k_0$. First, notice that if there exists a $k_1\geq k_0$ such that $T_{k_1}=0$, then $T_{k_1-1}T_{k_1+1}=0$. So, $T_{k_1-1}=0$ or $T_{k_1+1}=0$ and by Corollary 4, $T_2=0$. We have also $T_{k_0-1}\neq 0$, otherwise $T_{k_0}=0$ and by Corollary 4, $T_2=0$.

Now, for $T_k\neq 0$ $(k\geq k_0-1)$, we have

$$\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k}=\frac{T_k}{T_{k-1}}=\frac{T_{k_0}}{T_{k_0-1}}. \tag{60}$$

This means that

$$T_k=\left(\frac{T_{k_0}}{T_{k_0-1}}\right)^{k-k_0}T_{k_0}=ab^k \tag{61}$$

where $a = T_{k_0-1}^{k_0}/T_{k_0}^{k_0-1}$ and $b = T_{k_0}/T_{k_0-1}$.
The substitution of T_k by ab^k in (10) for $k \geq k_0$ leads to the equation

$$\frac{2}{T_1} b \left(a_n - \frac{n-2k-1}{n-2k} a_{n-2k-1} \right) + \frac{n+2}{n} c_n - \frac{n-2k+1}{n-2k+2} c_{n-2k+1} = \frac{b^{-k}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{T_l T_{k-l+1}}{n-2k+2l} = Q_k(n). \quad (62)$$

Let denote (62) by $\widetilde{E}(k,n)$ and make the subtraction $\widetilde{E}(k+1,n+2) - \widetilde{E}(k,n)$ to get

$$\frac{2}{T_1} b (a_{n+2} - a_n) + \frac{n+4}{n+2} c_{n+2} - \frac{n+2}{n} c_n = Q_{k+1}(n+2) - Q_k(n). \quad (63)$$

On the right hand side of (63) we have, for $k \geq k_0$, the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{Q}_k(n) &:= Q_{k+1}(n+2) - Q_k(n) = \frac{b^{-k-1}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} \frac{T_l T_{k-l+2}}{n-2k+2l} - \frac{b^{-k}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{T_l T_{k-l+1}}{n-2k+2l} \\
&= \frac{b^{-k-1}}{a} \frac{T_{k+1} T_1}{n+2} + \frac{b^{-k-1}}{a} \frac{T_k (T_2 - bT_1)}{n} + \frac{b^{-k-1}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{T_l (T_{k-l+2} - bT_{k-l+1})}{n-2k+2l} \\
&= \frac{T_1}{n+2} + \frac{T_2 - bT_1}{bn} + \frac{b^{-k-1}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{T_l (T_{k-l+2} - bT_{k-l+1})}{n-2k+2l} \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{Q}_{k+1}(n) &= \frac{T_1}{n+2} + \frac{T_2 - bT_1}{bn} + \frac{b^{-k-2}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{T_l (T_{k-l+3} - bT_{k-l+2})}{n-2k-2+2l} \\
&= \frac{T_1}{n+2} + \frac{T_2 - bT_1}{bn} + \frac{b^{-k-2}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{T_{l+1} (T_{k-l+2} - bT_{k-l+1})}{n-2k+2l}. \quad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

Now since the left hand side of equation (63) is independent of k, it follows

$$\widetilde{Q}_{k+1}(n) - \widetilde{Q}_k(n) = \frac{b^{-k-2}}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{(T_{l+1} - bT_l)(T_{k-l+2} - bT_{k-l+1})}{n-2k+2l} = 0. \quad (66)$$

As a result, for $1 \leq l \leq k-1$ and $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$(T_{l+1} - bT_l)(T_{k-l+2} - bT_{k-l+1}) = 0. \quad (67)$$

Let take $k = 2(k_0 - 2) - 1$ and $l = k_0 - 2$ to get $(T_{k_0-1} - bT_{k_0-2})^2 = 0$ and then $T_{k_0-1} = bT_{k_0-2}$, (or equivalently $D_{k_0-1} = 0$). Thus, the equations (60) and (61) are valid for $k = k_0 - 1$ and by induction we arrive at $T_4 = bT_3$, (or equivalently $D_4 = 0$). For $k = 4$, the right-hand side of (37) is null. So, $V_{4,2} = 0$ and using $T_5 = T_4^2/T_3$ (from $D_4 = 0$) we get $D_3 = 0$.

On the other side suppose that $T_2 \neq 0$, then we can write

$$T_k = \left(\frac{T_3}{T_2}\right)^{k-2} T_2 = ab^k, \text{ for } k \geq 2,$$

where $b = T_3/T_2$ and $a = T_2^3/T_3^2$. Therefore, the equation (62) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{T_1} b \left(a_n - \frac{n-2k-1}{n-2k} a_{n-2k-1} \right) + \frac{n+2}{n} c_n - \frac{n-2k+1}{n-2k+2} c_{n-2k+1} = \\
&= \frac{T_1}{n-2k+2} + \frac{T_1}{n} + \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} \frac{ab}{n-2k+2l}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 2 \text{ and } n \geq 2k+1. \qquad (68)
\end{aligned}$$

When $n = 2k+1$ and $n = 2k+2$, the equation (68) gives

$$\frac{2}{T_1} b a_{2k+1} + \frac{2k+3}{2k+1} c_{2k+1} = \frac{2}{3} c_2 + \frac{T_1}{3} + \frac{T_1}{2k+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} \frac{ab}{2l+1} \qquad (69)$$

and

$$\frac{2}{T_1} b a_{2k+2} + \frac{k+2}{k+1} c_{2k+2} = \frac{1}{T_1} a_1 b + \frac{3}{4} c_3 + \frac{T_1}{4} + \frac{T_1}{2k+2} + \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} \frac{ab}{2l+2} \qquad (70)$$

respectively. Let take $n = 2N+1$ in (68) and use (69) to obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{3} c_2 + \frac{T_1}{3} + \frac{T_1}{2N+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{N-1} \frac{ab}{1+2l} - \frac{2(N-k)b}{2(N-k)+1} \frac{2}{T_1} a_{2(N-k)} - \frac{2(N-k)+2}{2(N-k)+3} c_{2(N-k)+2} \\
&= \frac{T_1}{2(N-k)+3} + \frac{T_1}{2N+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} \frac{ab}{2(N-k)+2l+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this last equality let put $N-k$ instead of k to get

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2bk}{2k+1} \frac{2}{T_1} a_{2k} - \frac{2k+2}{2k+3} c_{2k+2} &= -\frac{2}{3} c_2 - \frac{T_1}{3} - \sum_{l=2}^{N-1} \frac{ab}{1+2l} + \frac{T_1}{2k+3} + \sum_{l=2}^{N-k-1} \frac{ab}{2k+2l+1} \\
&= -\frac{2}{3} c_2 - \frac{T_1}{3} + \frac{T_1}{2k+3} - \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{ab}{2l+3}. \qquad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

After defining $A_1 = \frac{a_1}{T_1} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{c_3}{b} + \frac{T_1}{4b}$, $A_2 = -\frac{2}{3}\frac{c_2}{b} - \frac{T_1}{3b}$ and $A_3 = \frac{T_1}{b}$ and making the operation

$$\frac{1}{k+2} \left(\frac{2k+2}{2k+3} Eq(70) + \frac{k+2}{k+1} Eq(71) \right)$$

we have

$$\frac{2(k+1)}{(k+2)(2k+3)}\frac{2}{T_1}\,a_{2(k+1)}-\frac{2k}{(k+1)(2k+1)}\frac{2}{T_1}\,a_{2k}=$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A_1(2k+2)+A_3}{(k+2)(2k+3)}+\frac{A_2}{k+1}+\frac{A_3}{(k+1)(2k+3)}+\frac{2k+2}{(k+2)(2k+3)}\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\frac{a}{2+2l}-\frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{l=2}^{k+1}\frac{a}{2l+1}\\
&= -\frac{2A_1}{2\,k+3}+\frac{2\,A_2-A_3}{k+2}+\frac{A_2+A_3}{k+1}+\left(-\frac{1}{2\,k+3}+\frac{1}{k+2}\right)\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\frac{a}{l+1}-\frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{l=2}^{k+1}\frac{a}{2l+1}\\
&= \frac{B_1}{k+2}+\frac{B_2}{k+\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{B_3}{k+1}+a\left(\frac{1}{k+2}-\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{1}{k+\frac{3}{2}}\right)\Psi\left(k+1\right)-\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{a}{k+1}\Psi\left(k+\frac{5}{2}\right), \qquad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

where short notations $B_1=(-3/2+\gamma)\,a+2A_1-A_3$, $B_2=(3/4-\gamma/2)\,a-A_1$, $B_3=(-\gamma/2-\ln(2)+4/3)\,a+A_2+A_3$, ($\gamma$ is Euler's constant) are introduced as well as $\Psi(x)$ which stands for the Digamma function.

Taking

$$U_k=\frac{2k}{(k+1)(2k+1)}\frac{2}{T_1}\,a_{2k}$$

and

$$G(k+1)=\frac{B_1}{k+2}+\frac{B_2}{k+\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{B_3}{k+1}+a\left(\frac{1}{k+2}-\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{1}{k+\frac{3}{2}}\right)\Psi\left(k+1\right)-\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{a}{k+1}\Psi\left(k+\frac{5}{2}\right), \qquad (73)$$

then (72) can be written in compact form as

$$U_{k+1}-U_k=G(k+1).$$

The later recurrence is easily solved to give

$$U_k=U_3+\sum_{j=4}^{k}G(j).$$

By using the formula $\Psi(j+1)=\Psi(j)+1/j$ and the relations [13, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2]

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k}\frac{\Psi(l+\alpha)}{l+\beta}+\sum_{l=0}^{k}\frac{\Psi(l+\beta+1)}{l+\alpha}=\Psi(k+\alpha+1)\Psi(k+\beta+1)-\Psi(\alpha)\Psi(\beta), \qquad (74)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{\Psi(j+\beta)}{j+\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\Psi'(k+\beta+1)-\Psi'(\beta)+\Psi(k+\beta+1)^2-\Psi(\beta)^2\right], \qquad (75)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
U_k=\frac{2k}{(k+1)(2k+1)}\frac{2}{T_1}\,a_{2k} &= \frac{a}{2}\left(\Psi\left(k+2\right)\right)^2+B_1\Psi\left(k+2\right)+\frac{a}{2}\Psi'\left(k+2\right)+B_2\Psi\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\\
&\left(-\frac{a}{2}\Psi\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)+B_3\right)\Psi\left(k+1\right)+\frac{a}{k+1}+\delta_2. \qquad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

From (76) we deduce the asymptotic behaviour of a_{2k} as $k \to \infty$:

$$\frac{2}{T_1}\, a_{2k} = \left(\delta_1 \left(k + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k}\right) + \frac{3a}{4} + \frac{5a}{16k} + \frac{a}{32k^2} - \frac{3a}{128k^3} + ...\right) \ln(k) + \delta_2 k + \delta_3 + \frac{\delta_4}{k} + \frac{\delta_5}{k^2} + \frac{\delta_6}{k^3} + \cdots \quad (77)$$

where coefficients δ_i are defined by (higher terms are omitted)

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 &= B_1 + B_2 + B_3, \\
\delta_2 &= \left(\gamma - \frac{25}{12}\right) B_1 + \left(\gamma + 2\ln(2) - \frac{352}{105}\right) B_2 + \left(\gamma - \frac{11}{6}\right) B_3 \\
&\quad + \left(\left(\ln(2) - \frac{107}{210}\right)\gamma + \frac{3439}{2520} - \frac{11}{6}\,\ln(2) - \frac{\pi^2}{12}\right) a + \frac{3}{7\,T_1}\, a_6, \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{3}{2}\, B_1 + B_2 + \frac{1}{2}\, B_3 + \frac{3}{2}\, a + \frac{3}{2}\, \delta_2, \\
\delta_4 &= \frac{7}{6}\, B_1 + \frac{25}{24}\, B_2 + \frac{2}{3}\, B_3 + \frac{11}{8}\, a + \frac{1}{2}\, \delta_2, \\
\delta_5 &= \frac{1}{8}\, B_1 + \frac{1}{16}\, B_2 + \frac{1}{8}\, B_3 + \frac{5}{96}\, a, \\
\delta_6 &= -\frac{1}{30}\, B_1 + \frac{13}{960}\, B_2 - \frac{1}{30}\, B_3 - \frac{1}{96}\, a, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

At this step we should remark that $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{2k} = \infty$ for all δ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, ...$, since $a \neq 0$.
Recall that $c_n = T_1 \left(n a_n / a_{n-1} - (n-1)\right)/2$, then the equation (69) can be written as

$$a_{2k+1}\left(b\frac{2}{T_1} + \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k+3}{a_{2k}}\right) = \phi(k), \quad (78)$$

where

$$\phi\,(k) = \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k(2k+3)}{2k+1} - bA_2 + \frac{bA_3}{2k+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} \frac{ab}{2l+1}.$$

- If we suppose $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k}}{2k} = \infty$, then from (78) we deduce on one side

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k+1}}{2k+1} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\frac{\phi(k)}{2k+1}}{\frac{2b}{T_1} + \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k+3}{a_{2k}}} = \frac{T_1^2}{4b}. \quad (79)$$

On the other side, for $n = 2k+3$, (9) reads

$$\frac{4T_2}{T_1^3}\left(1 - \frac{2k}{2k+1}\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+3}}\right) = \frac{2k+4}{a_{2k+3}} - 2\frac{2k+3}{a_{2k+2}} + \frac{2k+2}{a_{2k+1}}. \quad (80)$$

Under the assumption $T_2 \neq 0$, (80) admits the limit $\infty = 8b/T_1^2$, as $k \to \infty$, which exhibit a contradiction.

- Now if $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k}}{2k} = \eta_1 \neq 0$, then from (78) we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k+1}}{2k+1} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\frac{\phi(k)}{2k+1}}{\frac{2b}{T_1} + \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k+3}{a_{2k}}} = \frac{\frac{T_1}{2}}{\frac{2b}{T_1} + \frac{T_1}{2\eta_1}} := \eta_2. \quad (81)$$

Equation (9) becomes, for $n = 2k + 2$,

$$\frac{4T_2}{T_1^3}\left(1 - \frac{2k-1}{2k}\frac{a_{2k-1}}{a_{2k+2}}\right) = \frac{2k+3}{a_{2k+2}} - 2\frac{2k+2}{a_{2k+1}} + \frac{2k+1}{a_{2k}}. \tag{82}$$

And if we assume that $T_2 \neq 0$ and $\eta_2 = \infty$, then the limit process $k \to \infty$ in (82) left us with the contradiction $\infty = 2/\eta_1$. But if $\eta_2 \neq \infty$, then by taking the limit in (80) and (82) we obtain, respectively,

$$\frac{4T_2}{T_1^3}\left(1 - \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) = \frac{2}{\eta_2} - \frac{2}{\eta_1}$$

and

$$\frac{4T_2}{T_1^3}\left(1 - \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1}\right) = \frac{2}{\eta_1} - \frac{2}{\eta_2}.$$

Adding the two later we get $2 - \eta_1/\eta_2 - \eta_2/\eta_1 = 0$ and therefore $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. According to (81) $\eta_1 = 0$ which is in contradiction with the initial hypothesis $\eta_1 \neq 0$.

• Finally if $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k}}{2k} = 0$, then from (81) and (78) we have respectively $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k+1}}{2k+1} = 0$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k+1}}{a_{2k}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\frac{\phi(k)}{2k}}{\frac{2b}{T_1}\frac{a_{2k}}{2k} + \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k+3}{2k}} = 1.$$

Similarly, from (70) we obtain $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k+2}}{a_{2k+1}} = 1$. Now, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1$, the left-hand side of (82) tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$. In the other hand, according to (77), $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{2k}}{2k} = 0$ implies that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2k} &= \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{(k+1)(2k+1)}{2k}\left(\frac{a}{2}\left(\Psi\left(k+2\right)\right)^2 + B_1\Psi\left(k+2\right) + \frac{a}{2}\Psi'\left(k+2\right) + B_2\Psi\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left.\left(-\frac{a}{2}\Psi\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right) - B_1 - B_2\right)\Psi\left(k+1\right) + \frac{a}{k+1}\right), \\ &= \frac{T_1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{3a}{4} + \frac{5a}{16k} + \frac{a}{32k^2} - \frac{3a}{128k^3} + ...\right)\ln(k) + \delta_3 + \frac{\delta_4}{k} + \frac{\delta_5}{k^2} + \frac{\delta_6}{k^3} + \cdots\right). \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

From (78) we have

$$a_{2k+1} = \frac{\phi(k)}{b\frac{2}{T_1} + \frac{T_1}{2}\frac{2k+3}{a_{2k}}}, \tag{84}$$

which gives an explicit formula for a_{2k+1}. Using (84), the right-hand side of (82) reads

$$-8\,\frac{b}{T_1}\frac{k+1}{\phi\left(k\right)} + \frac{2\,k+3}{a_{2k+2}} + \left(-2\,T_1\frac{\left(2k+3\right)\,\left(k+1\right)}{\phi\left(k\right)} + 2\,k + 1\right)\frac{1}{a_{2k}}. \tag{85}$$

By virtue of (83), the limit of the both sides of (82), as $k \to \infty$, gives $-\frac{8b}{3T_1^2} = 0$. So, $b = 0$ and $T_k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$. Therefore, by corollary 4 we have $T_2 = 0$ which contradicts $T_2 \neq 0$.

Case 3: For every $k_0 \geq 3$, there exists $k \geq k_0$ such that $D_k = 0$ or $D_k \neq 0$.

To exclude *Case 1* and *Case 2*, there exists a mixed case with infinitely many k and κ such that: $D_k = 0$ and $D_\kappa \neq 0$. Now, it suffices to take k_1 and k_2, $k_1 \neq k_2$, with $D_{k_1} = 0$, $D_{k_1+1} \neq 0$, $D_{k_2} = 0$ and $D_{k_2+1} \neq 0$ to get two equations similar to (30) and (31). Consequently, a reasoning analogous to that of Corollary 7 completes the proof.

■

4 Concluding remarks

We have shown that the only polynomial sets (besides the monomial set) $\{P_n\}$ generated by $F(xt-R(t)) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n P_n(x)t^n$ and satisfying the three-term recursion $xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P_n(x) + \omega_n P_{n-1}(x)$, are the rescaled ultraspherical, Hermite and Chebychev polynomials of the first kind. In [6], the authors generalized the results obtained in [2] and [5] in the context of d-orthogonality by considering the polynomials (which fulfils a $(d+1)$-order difference equation) generated by $F((d+1)xt - t^{d+1})$, where d is a positive integer. Recently in [14], the author characterized the Shefer d-orthogonal polynomials. These polynomials have the generating function $A(t)\exp(xH(t))$ which has the alternative form $\exp(xH(t)+\ln(A(t))) = F(xU(t)-R(t))$. So, a natural extension is to look at polynomial sets generated by $F(xU(t) - R(t))$ and satisfying the $(d+1)$-order recursion

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \sum_{l=0}^{d} \gamma_n^l P_{n-l}(x), \tag{86}$$

where $\{\gamma_n^l\}$, $0 \leq l \leq d$, are complex sequences.
Currently, we are attempting to generalize the results given here by investigating polynomial sets satisfying the recursion (86) and generated by $F(xt - R(t))$. This also provides generalizations of the results given in [6] and [14].

Acknowledgements: One of us (M. B. Z.) would like to thank Prof. Dominique Manchon for precious help and useful discussions and for his high hospitality at "Laboratoire de Mathématiques, CNRS-UMR 6620 " (Clermont-Ferrand).

References

[1] Appell M.P., Sur une classe de polynmes. Ann Sci Ecole Norm Sup. 1880; 9: 119-144.

[2] Al-Salam W.A., On a characterization of a certain set of orthogonal polynomials, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 1964;(3) (19): 448–450.

[3] Al-Salam W.A., Characterization theorems for orthogonal polynomials, Orthogonal polynomials (Columbus, OH, 1989), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 294, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990: 1–24. MR1100286 (92g:42011)

[4] Anshelevich, M., A characterization of ultraspherical, Hermite, and Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Integral Transforms and Special Functions 27, 2016: 307-317.

[5] von Bachhaus, A.L.W., The orthogonal polynomials generated by $F(xt - \alpha t^2) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n P_n(x) t^n$, Rend. Mat. Appl., VII. Ser. 15, No.1 1995: 79–88

[6] Ben Cheikh Y. and Douak K., On the Classical d-Orthogonal Polynomials Defined by Certain Generating Functions, 1. Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society Simon Stevin, 7(1), 2000: 107–124.

[7] Boas, R. P., and R. Creighton Buck. Polynomials defined by generating relations. The American Mathematical Monthly, 63 (9), 1956: 626–632.

[8] Chihara, T. S., Orthogonal polynomials with Brenke type generating function. Duke Math. J. 1968; 35: 505–517.

[9] Chihara, T. S., Orthogonality relations for a class of Brenke polynomials. Duke Math. J. 1971; 38: 599–603.

[10] Chihara T. S., An introduction to orthogonal polynomials. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13. New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers; 1978. MR0481884 (58 ,1979).

[11] Koekoek R, Lesky P. A. and Swarttouw R. F., Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2010. With a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder. MR2656096 (2011e:33029).

[12] Meixner J. Orthogonale polynomsysteme mit einer besonderen gestalt der erzeugenden funktion. J London Math Soc. 1934; 9: 6-13.

[13] Milgram, M., On some sums of digamma and polygamma functions. arXiv preprint math/0406338, 2004.

[14] Varma, S., A characterization theorem and its applications for d-orthogonality of Sheffer polynomial sets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07261 (2016).